

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/988,020  | 11/16/2001                            | Larry W. Hinderks    | 3593-23             | 7679             |
| 23117 7   | 590 04/07/2006                        |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
|   | ANDERHYE, PC                          |                      | SHAND, ROBERTA A    |                  |
| 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22203 |                                       | LOOR                 | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| , mean (or or ),  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                      | 2616                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                  |
|--|---|---|
| Notice of Abandonment  | 09/988,020  | HINDERKS ET AL.                               |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit                                      |
|  | Roberta A. Shand  | 2616  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | ppears on the cover sheet with                                  | the correspondence address                    |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |   |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of | of Mailing or Transmission dated _<br>of month(s)) which expire | ), which is after the expiration of the       |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it do  |   |   |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fit Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3  | led Notice of Appeal (with appeal                               |   |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See  |   | de attempt at a proper reply, to the non-     |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  |   |   |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO)   |   | within the statutory period of three months   |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v<br>), which is after the expiration of the statutory<br>Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |   |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala  | nce of \$ is due.   |   |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | . The publication fee, if required                              | by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$                      |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has  | s not been received.  |   |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | equired by, and within the three-n                              | nonth period set in, the Notice of            |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing of                               | or Transmission dated), which is              |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |   |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.   | the attorney or agent of record, t                              | ne assignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | an attorney or agent (acting in a                               | representative capacity under 37 CFR          |
| <ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter<br/>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared.</li> </ol>   |   | ecause the period for seeking court review    |
| 7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:  |   |   |
| In a telephone interview held March 28, 2006, Ela  | aine Locke of DG Systems ind                                    | icated that this case is abandonned.          |
|  |   | HUY D. VU<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER      |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with  |   | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600                        |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)